

Appl. No. : 10/654,068  
Filed : September 3, 2003

### REMARKS

By way of summary, Claims 1-16 were originally filed in the present application. Claims 1-16 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,521,503.

While the Applicants may not agree with the foregoing rejection, in order to progress the prosecution of the present application, the Applicants have attached an appropriate Terminal Disclaimer. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the non-statutory, obviousness-type double patenting rejection of the claims.

The undersigned has made a good faith effort to respond to all of the rejections in the case and to place the claims in condition for immediate allowance. Nevertheless, if any undeveloped issues remain or if any issues require clarification, the Examiner is respectfully requested to call Applicants' attorney in order to resolve such issue promptly.

Please charge any additional fees, including any fees for additional extension of time, or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 11-1410.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: MAY 9, 2005

By: Karl Klassen  
Karl L. Klassen  
Registration No. 54,224  
Attorney of Record  
Customer No. 20,995  
(949) 760-0404

1348795\_1  
031705